# Women in Science : Worldwide Initiatives 

Resmi Lekshmi<br>Indian Institute of Space Science \& Technology

## Initiatives towards ?

One among the several initiatives across the glob
$\Rightarrow$ ASI gender sessions

Initiatives towards ?
r.Identify any factor that can impede or hinder the career progress
2. Take measures to make academia a better place

## Let the Numbers Speak

Table 1: Country-wise Attrition of Women in Physics from Under-graduate to Professional Level

| Country | Under-Graduate <br> Level | Graduate <br> Level | Professional <br> Level |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| India | $32 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| UK | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| France | $38 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| USA | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

Source: Adapted from data by Working group on Women in Physics (IUPAP). http://wgwip.df.uba.ar/

Female scientist fraction
Astrophy inst (median) (median): ~ Io (Kharb 2014, ASI gender session 2014)

Women fellows of IAS $\sim 7 \%(2 \mathrm{OI})^{*}$
INSA young sc. awardees $\sim 14 \%$ (2008-2OI4)*

IIT Directors : o\%
First IIT council members in 2014 (2 women)

* Shastri et al. Presentation at ICWIP, Waterloo, 2014

Why?


## Meg Urry <br> Director of Yale Centre of A\&A <br> AAS president

"Over the years, I saw women in the scientific world treated badly, being marginalized, mistreated, harassed..... After enough of this kind of thing, women feel beaten down and underappreciated, or worse, they feel incapable. That's the most insidious thing. After years of being passed over, ignored, and insulted, we start wondering what we are doing wrong. Maybe if I had made the suggestion differently, it would have been heard. Maybe if I lowered my voice and spoke more slowly, I would get more respect. Maybe -- even though I published many papers, did seminal work in more than one field, brought in big grants, had successful students and postdocs -- maybe I wasn't a good enough scientist."

People's behaviour shaped by implicit or unintended bias.
Scientists, who have training to be objective, is no different.

## Unconscious bias - I

* Moss-Racusin et al. , Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences, USA, 2012
* Application material for a student cum lab-manager was created
* And given to 127 faculty members (male \& female) from various 'research intensive' universities (biology, chemistry \& physics) in the US
* Randomly assigned either a male (63) or a female (64) name



## Unconscious bias

I. female student is less likely to be hired w.r.t an identical male student
2. even if hired, can be offered a smaller starting salary

|  | Male target student |  |  |  | Female target student |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male faculty |  | Female faculty |  | Male faculty |  | Female faculty |  |  |
| Variable | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | d |
| Competence | 4.01 a | (0.92) | $4.1{ }_{\text {a }}$ | (1.19) | $3.33{ }_{\text {b }}$ | (1.07) | $3.32{ }_{\text {b }}$ | (1.10) | 0.71 |
| Hireability | 3.74a | (1.24) | 3.92a | (1.27) | $2.96{ }_{\text {b }}$ | (1.13) | $2.84{ }_{\text {b }}$ | (0.84) | 0.75 |
| Mentoring | 4.74 a | (1.11) | 4.73 a | (1.31) | $4.00{ }^{\text {b }}$ | (1.21) | $3.911_{\text {b }}$ | (0.91) | 0.67 |
| Salary | $\left.30,520.83_{\mathrm{a}} \quad 5,764.8629,333.33 \mathrm{a}\right)(4,952.15)$ |  |  |  | $\left.27,111,11_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\left(6,948.58 \bigcirc 25,000.00_{\mathrm{b}}>7,965.56\right)$ |  |  |  | 0.60 |

3. female faculty members show similar biases as male faculty members

## Unconscious bias - II

* name-swapped CVs of a real-life scientist were sent to
- 238 (male \& female academic psychologists (== subjects)
* for evaluating hireability



## Stereotype Threat



- Students with similar math abilities are randomly divided into two groups
- Both groups contain men \& women
- Same math test is given to both groups
- Before the test, Group-ı is told that men do better in this test than women
- Group-2 is told that it is a gender neutral test


## Impostor syndrome

Individuals of both genders, but women more than men, feel that they are not as competent as their peers think they are. Lately, some resources with positive suggestions for addressing this problem have become available.

Initiatives

## IUPAP WG5

* Working group for women in physics (1999)
* Survey situation of women scientists in member countries
* Suggest ways of improvement
* Conducts regular International Women in Physics meetings


## worldwide initiatives



* Women in astronomy chapter of the Astronomical Society of Australia : established in 2009
* Monitor status of women
* Keep accurate statistics
* Help improve the status, appropriate representation in high level committees, seminars etc.


## worldwide initiatives

## AAS Committee on the Status of Women



* Established in 1979
* Recommend practical measures to help improve women's status
* Regular sessions during AAS meeting


## IoP UK : project Juno

* Institute of Physics : UK's national body for physics community
* Project JUNO : Award scheme to recognize \& reward departments that are addressing under-representation of women
* Established in 2007


## Juno : 5 principles

1. Organizational framework to deliver equality of opportunity

- monitor data

2. Appointment/selection procedures are such that men \& women are encouraged to apply for academic posts of all levels

* career breaks are taken into consideration, gender awareness to interview panel

3. Departmental structures \& systems to encourage career progress of all staff

* mentoring scheme, transparent promotion schemes


## Juno : 5 principles

4. Dept. organization/structure/management/culture : open \& inclusive

* encourage female seminar speakers, use positive inclusive images in communication

5. Flexible approaches \& provisions to enable all individuals for the best contribution to department

* flexible work hours, encourage paternity/caring leave, support for people returning from career break


## Juno : levels

Supporter
begins Juno journey
 25

Practitioner
Well into it


Champion
5 principles are embedded throughout

IO

Result in last 6 years
Professors $5 \%$ to $9 \%$
Senior lecturers $14.8 \%$ to $19 \%$
Researchers
$17 \%$ to $19 \%$

## What can we do?

* Awareness \& mentoring programs
* Policy measures to monitor \& improve status of women

