Astronomical Techniques II Lecture 13 - Self-Calibration

Divya Oberoi

IUCAA NCRA Graduate School

div@ncra.tifr.res.in

March-May 2016

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

1/12

Calibration errors - impact on the image

- 1 The origin of calibration errors
- **2** The impact of calibration errors

1 Deconvolution equation - no longer valid

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日

2/12

- 3 Sidelobes of the PSF $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N(N-1)}}$
- 4 Show up as increased RMS in the map

Calibration approach

Rely on frequent observations of radio sources of known structure, position and strength to determine the calibration solutions

2
$$\tilde{V}_{i,j}(t) = g_i(t) g_j^*(t) G_{i,j}(t) V_{i,j}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{i,j}(t) + \epsilon_{i,j}(t)$$

$$\vec{V}_{i,j}(t) = g_i(t) g_j^*(t) V_{i,j}(t) + \epsilon_{i,j}(t)$$

g_i(t)
Instrumental part - slowly varying
Propagation part (troposphere and ionosphere) - faster varying
σ_G = σ_V/(N-3); S - Flux density of the source

Drawbacks of ordinary calibration

- **I** $g_i(t)$ s come from a time and direction different from that of interest!
- Residual errors (frequency and baseline length dependent) remain
- **3** For stronger sources dominate the error budget
- 4 Strength of the available calibrator
- **5** Presence of any resolved structure or other confusing sources in the field

- Allow the element gains to be free parameters in the imaging process
- 2 Impact of self-calibration
 - **1** Constraints = No. of measured visibilities = N(N-1)/2
 - 2 Instrumental DoF = N
 - 3 Constraints available for the emission in the sky = N(N-1)/2 N (amplitude) and N(N-1) (N-1) (phase)
 - 4 Loss of information of absolute position of the source
 - **5** Loss of information of absolute strength of the source

Redundant Calibration

- Consider a 1-D array of N elements with uniform spacing between antennas, d (Westerbork, Ooty)
- 2 Redundant measurements in the *uv* plane for all but the longest baseline
 - $\label{eq:linear} \fbox{Overdetermined Of the N(N-1)/2 measurements, only N-1} are independent$
- **3** Solve simultaneously for (N-1) visibilities and N complex gains

Self-calibration

- Basic premise even after including the additional DoF of element gains, the job of estimation of an adequate model for *I(1, m)* is still overdetermined
- Similar to Clean use plausible assumptions about I(I, m) to interpret measured visibilities
- **3** Objective Deduce \hat{l} , the FT of which, \hat{V} , after accounting for instrumental gains is consistent with the measured visibilities.

5 $S = \sum_{k} \sum_{i,j \ i \neq j} w_{i,j}(t_k) |\hat{V}_{i,j}(t_k)|^2 |X_{i,j}(t_k) - g_i(t_k)g_j^*(t_k)|^2,$ where

$$X_{i,j}(t_k) = rac{V_{i,j}(t_k)}{\widehat{V}_{i,j}(t_k)}$$

Self-calibration - practical implementation

- **1** Make an initial model of the source, \hat{I}
- Use the previous equation to convert it into a point source model
- **3** Solve for *g*_is
- 4 Compute the corrected visibilities

$$V_{i,j,corr}(t) = rac{ ilde{V}_{i,j}(t)}{g_i(t) \ g_j^*(t)}$$

- **5** Build a new model using Vi, j, corr(t)
- 6 Iterate till satisfied

Closure Phase and Amplitude

1
$$\tilde{\phi}_{i,j}(t) = \phi_{i,j}(t) + \theta_i(t) - \theta_j(t) + noise$$
, where
 $\theta_i(t) = \arg g_i(t)$
2 $\tilde{C}_{i,j,k} = \tilde{\phi}_{i,j}(t) + \tilde{\phi}_{j,k}(t) + \tilde{\phi}_{k,i}(t)$
3 $\tilde{C}_{i,j,k} = \phi_{i,j}(t) + \phi_{j,k}(t) + \phi_{k,i}(t) + noise$
4 $\tilde{C}_{i,j,k} = C_{i,j,k} + noise$
5 $\Gamma_{i,j,k,l} = \frac{|\tilde{V}_{i,j}(t)||\tilde{V}_{k,l}(t)|}{|\tilde{V}_{i,k}(t)||\tilde{V}_{j,l}(t)|}$

- **6** Iterative least-squares techniques to make $\hat{V}_{i,j}(t)$ consistent with $\tilde{V}_{i,j}(t)$
- It can formally be shown that *self-calibration* is equivalent to using clsoure quantities (Cornwell and Wilkinson, 1981)

- **1** Relationship with Apadtive Optics
- 2 Why does self-cal work?
 - Most successful for dense uv coverages for arrays with largeish N (few tens) and good SNR
 - Sources are simple and can be represented by a small number of DoF
 - **3** For a large N interferometer, it still remains a vastly over determined problem
 - 4 No formal proof of convergence of self-calibration is available

Driving self-cal

- Initial model usual calibration and subsequent imaging good enough
- 2 Model *must not* contain any features due to calibration errors
- **3** Images at near by frequencies, higher/lower resolutions useful
- One can even start from a point source model and slowly move towards a detailed model of a source which is many many resolution elements across
- 5 Prudent to solve only for phases to begin with
- 6 Use of weighting schemes
- 7 Choice of averaging time

- **1** Random time varying pointing errors (jitter)
- 2 Non-isoplaneticity in the ionosphere
- **3** Departures of the primary beam from the reference primary beam
- Correlator problems (bias, incorrectly set sampling levels)
- 5 Local RFI